

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE P 10980096-1 KALTENBACH 09/17/98 09/156,804 **EXAMINER** IM22/0109 BEX, P AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES PAPER NUMBER LEGAL DEPARTMENT, 51UPD **ART UNIT** INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION 1743 P.O. BOX 58043 SANTA CLARA CA 95052-8043 DATE MAILED: 01/09/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

## Application No.

09/156,804

Applicant(s)

## Kaltenbach et al.

Examiner

**Advisory Action** 

Patricia Kathryn Bex

Group Art Unit 1743



TH	E PERIOD	FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]		
	a) 💢 exp	oires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.		
	is la	oires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, water. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the ection.	hichever e final	
	date on which	on of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate f th the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purpos the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will b om the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	es of	
	Appellant's period for a	s Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).	(or within any	
Ap bu	plicant's re t is NOT de	esponse to the final rejection, filed on <u>Jan 3, 2001</u> has been considered with eemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	the following effect,	
X	The propos	sed amendment(s):		
	☐ will be	e entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.		
	X will no	ot be entered because:		
	🔀 the	ey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).		
	🔀 the	ey raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).		
		ey are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or sues for appeal.	implifying the	
	the:	ey present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected clair	ns.	
	NOTE:	NOTE: Proposed amendment to claims 1 and 25 are previously unclaimed features requiring further consideration		
		and/or search. Further, the limitation of claims 1 and 25 citing "simultaneous modular coup	ling" is not disclosed	
		within the instant specification.		
	Applica	eant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):		
		pposed or amended claims would be allow	able if submitted in a	
	separate,	timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.		
X		avit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the applic	ation in condition	
		ance because: <u>thas defined "modular coupling" as an apparatus that contains various parts or components w</u>	vhich can be	
		ed for use without extensive calibration or testing, it is Examiners' opinion that Baker et al teac		
П		avit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were		
ب		in the final rejection.	,	
X	For purpo	oses of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):		
	Claims all	lowed: NONE		
	Claims ob	pjected to: NONE	<del></del>	
	Claims rej	jected: <u>1-12, 25, and 26</u>		
	The propo	osed drawing correction filed on has has not been approved	by the Examiner.	
	Note the a	attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).		
	Other	Muwardu		
		Supervisory Patent Examin		